

in the Roman tongues, as given on the cover of *HM*, is appropriate.

REFERENCES

- O.E.D. 1933 *The Oxford English Dictionary* Oxford (Clarendon)
Robert, Paul 1972 *Dictionnaire alphabétique et analogique de la langue française* Paris (Société du Nouveau Littré)

REVIEWS OF OLD BOOKS

A Communication from Charles V. Jones
York University, Toronto

Do you think essay reviews of 'classic' mathematics books would be appropriate in *Historia Mathematica*? The review could give a summary and general critique, discuss the book's innovations and their reception, examine its wider influence (e.g., on subsequent mathematical writing, on the cultural milieu, on individuals), and place it in the history of mathematics. Text-books would be obvious choices, but so would many research monographs and expository works.

Such reviews need not be a regular feature, and probably could not be, if properly done.

Editor's Note: Yes. This is what we mean by "We welcome also retrospective reviews of older books" in the introduction to the Reviews Department.

* * * * *

CSHPM/SCHPM

CORRECTION!

The 1975 meeting of the Canadian Society for the History and Philosophy of Mathematics/ Société Canadienne d'Histoire et de Philosophie des Mathématiques will be held on JUNE 7 and not on July 7 as announced in the February issue (*HM* 2, 76).